

PRIME TIME SEMINAR
VENUE: LH-1, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IISC BENGALURU

IRISH DEBBARMA, MASTERS STUDENT

1 NOTATION

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with $q = p^r$ elements where p a prime number and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

2 SOLUTIONS TO $x^n = u$

Let $N(u)$ be the number of solutions in \mathbb{F}_q to the equation $x^n = u$.

Clearly, if $u = 0$ then $x = 0$. So, suppose $u \neq 0$. Since \mathbb{F}_q^\times is a cyclic group, with say generator w , $\mathbb{F}_q \ni u \neq 0$ can be written as $u = w^k$. If x is a solution to $x^n = u$, then x is non-zero and thus can be written as $x = w^\ell$. Consequently, x is a solution if and only if $w^{\ell n} = w^k \Leftrightarrow w^{\ell n - k} = 1 \Leftrightarrow \ell n \equiv k \pmod{q-1}$ if and only if $\gcd(n, q-1) \mid k$. To summarise,

$$N(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & , u = 0 \\ d := \gcd(n, q-1) & , u \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1. *The solution set of $x^n = u$ is in bijection with the solution set of $x^d = u$ with $u \neq 0$.*

Remark 2. *I just need that the size of the two solution sets is the same.*

Proof. Let $u = w^k$. If $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $x^n = u$, then $x^{dd'} = u \Rightarrow (x^{d'})^d = u$ and thus $x^{d'}$ is a solution to $x^d = u$. Conversely, suppose $x^d = u$ for some $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$. As $d = \gcd(n, q-1)$, there are integers $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ns + t(q-1) = d$. Therefore,

$$x^d = x^{ns+t(q-1)} = (x^s)^n \cdot 1 = (x^s)^n = u$$

Hence, we have a bijection between the two solution sets. This completes the proof. \square

This allows us to assume without loss of generality that $n \mid q-1$.

Lemma 3. *The number of solutions to the equation $x^n = u$ in \mathbb{F}_q , denoted by $N(u)$ is given by $\sum_{x^n=1} \chi(u)$.*

Date: October 2023.

3 SOLUTIONS TO $a_0x_0^{n_0} + a_1x_1^{n_1} + \dots + a_r x_r^{n_r} = 0$

This section follows Weil's paper [Wei49]. Let $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and $n_0, n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can assume all the n_i 's are positive (only small modifications is required for negative exponents). We want to find the number of solutions N of the equation

$$(1) \quad a_0x_0^{n_0} + a_1x_1^{n_1} + \dots + a_r x_r^{n_r} = 0$$

in \mathbb{F}_q . For each i , let $d_i = \gcd(n_i, q-1)$ and $N_i(u)$ be the number of solutions of $x^{n_i} = u$. If we put $L(u) = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i u_i$, we find that

$$(2) \quad N = \sum_{L(u)=0} N_0(u_0)N_1(u_1) \cdots N_r(u_r)$$

where the sum is over all tuples (u_0, \dots, u_r) such that $L(u) = 0$.

Definition 4. Fix the map $\phi : \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $(q^n - 1)\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$. Define $\chi_{\alpha,n} : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\chi_{\alpha,n}(x) = \phi(x)^{(q^n-1)\alpha}$$

We can extend this to \mathbb{F}_q by defining at 0. We do that by

$$\chi_{\alpha,n}(0) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{1} \\ 1 & , \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{1} \end{cases}$$

For $n = 1$, we will write χ_α for $\chi_{\alpha,1}$

Lemma 5. If $(q-1)\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$, then $\chi_{\alpha,n} = \chi_\alpha(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x))$

Proof. $\chi_{\alpha,n}(x) = \phi(x)^{(q^n-1)\alpha} = \left(\phi(x)^{(q-1)\alpha}\right)^{1+q+q^2+\dots+q^{n-1}} = \chi_\alpha(x^{1+q+q^2+\dots+q^{n-1}}) = \chi_\alpha(\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q}(x))$. \square

Proposition 6. The number of solutions to the equation $x^n = u$ in \mathbb{F}_q , denoted by $N(u)$ is given by $\sum_{d\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{1}} \chi_\alpha(u)$.

Proof. When $u = 0$, then both sides become 1. When $u \neq 0$, then we observe that the right hand side is $\sum_{v=0}^{d-1} \chi_{1/d}(u)^v$. So, $\chi_{1/d}(u)$ is then a d -th root of unity if and only if u is a d -th power in \mathbb{F}_q^\times . This completes the proof. \square

Using this result, we have

$$(3) \quad N = \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_r) \\ d_i \alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1}}} \sum_{\substack{u=(u_0,\dots,u_r) \\ L(u)=0}} \chi_{\alpha_0}(u_0) \cdots \chi_{\alpha_r}(u_r)$$

Notice that $L(u) = 0$ has q^r points, and thus the inner sum amounts to q^r when $\alpha_0 = \cdots = \alpha_r = 0$. In fact, if some, but not all, of the α_i 's are 0, then the sum amounts to 0. To see this, suppose $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{s-1}$ are the non-zero ones and $\alpha_s, \dots, \alpha_r = 0$. Then, $L(u) = 0$ has q^{r-s} points and the double summation becomes

$$(4) \quad q^{r-s} \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \left(\sum_{u_i} \chi_{\alpha_i}(u_i) \right)$$

and the claim follows from orthogonality of characters. Hence, converts to

$$(5) \quad N = q^r + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_r) \\ d_i \alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1} \\ 0 < \alpha_i < 1}} \sum_{\substack{u=(u_0,\dots,u_r) \\ L(u)=0}} \chi_{\alpha_0}(u_0) \cdots \chi_{\alpha_r}(u_r)$$

We can rewrite the inner sum in a cleaner way. Clearly, the $u_0 = 0$ terms contribute nothing to the sum. So, just assume $u_0 \neq 0$, and let $u_i = u_0 v_i$ for some $v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then,

$$\sum_{\substack{u=(u_0,\dots,u_r) \\ u_0+u_1+\dots+u_r=0}} \chi_{\alpha_0}(u_0) \cdots \chi_{\alpha_r}(u_r) = \sum_{u_0 \neq 0} \chi_{\alpha_0+\dots+\alpha_r}(u_0) \sum_{1+v_1+\dots+v_r=0} \chi_{\alpha_1}(v_1) \cdots \chi_{\alpha_r}(v_r)$$

Now, depending on whether $\alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_r \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$, the outer sum is $q - 1$ and 0 respectively.

4 GAUSS AND JACOBI SUMS

This section follows [Wei49]. If you want to read more about Gauss and Jacobi sums, please refer to [Con][IR90].

Now, for $\alpha_i(q-1)$, $\alpha_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{1}$, and $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ we can define

Definition 7 (Jacobi sums).

$$\begin{aligned} j(\alpha) &:= \sum_{1+v_1+\dots+v_r=0} \chi_{\alpha_1}(v_1) \cdots \chi_{\alpha_r}(v_r) \\ &= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{u_0+u_1+\dots+u_r=0} \chi_{\alpha_0}(u_0) \cdots \chi_{\alpha_r}(u_r) \end{aligned}$$

In terms of Jacobi sums, we can write the number of solutions N of (1) by

$$(6) \quad N = q^r + (q-1) \sum_{\substack{\alpha = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_r) \\ d_i \alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1} \\ 0 < \alpha_i < 1 \\ \alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_r = 0}} \chi_{\alpha_0}(\mathbf{a}_0^{-1}) \cdots \chi_{\alpha_r}(\mathbf{a}_r^{-1}) j(\alpha)$$

These Jacobi sums are closely related to other sums called Gauss sums. We will use Gauss sums to compute Jacobi sums further.

Definition 8. Define $\psi_q : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\psi_q(\mathbf{a}) = e^{2\pi i \frac{\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbf{a})}{p}}$$

Proposition 9. The character ψ_q is not trivial, and every additive character of \mathbb{F}_q is of the form $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \psi_q(c\mathbf{a})$ for some $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Proof. This follows from Artin's theorem on linear independence of characters. \square

Definition 10. Let $\chi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be any of the multiplicative character of \mathbb{F}_q and ψ_q as defined earlier. Then, the Gauss sum $g(\chi)$ is

$$(7) \quad g(\chi) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(x) \psi_q(x)$$

It is easy to see that if χ is the trivial character then $g(\chi) = 0$. So suppose $\chi \neq \mathbf{1}$. Then,

Proposition 11.

$$g(\chi) \bar{g}(\chi) = q$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} g(\chi) \bar{g}(\chi) &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(xy^{-1}) \psi_q(x-y) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(x) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi_q((x-1)y) \\ &= \sum_{0 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(x) \sum_{0 \neq y \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi_q((x-1)y) \\ &= \chi(1) \sum_{y \neq 0} 1 + \sum_{x \neq 0,1} \chi(x)(-1) \\ &= q - 1 + (-1)(-1) \\ &= q \end{aligned}$$

\square

Now, for $t \neq 0$, if we replace x in $g(\chi)$ by tx , we get

$$g(\chi) = \chi(t) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(x) \psi_q(tx)$$

Using the previous proposition, and interchanging t and x we have

$$(8) \quad \chi(x) = \frac{g(\chi)}{q} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} \bar{\chi}(t) \bar{\psi}_q(tx)$$

Remark 12. You can see this as the Fourier expansion of χ with respect to the additive characters ψ_q .

Using this in the definition of Jacobi sums, we have

$$\begin{aligned} j(\mathfrak{a}) &= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{u_0+u_1+\dots+u_r=0} \chi_{\alpha_0}(u_0) \cdots \chi_{\alpha_r}(u_r) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{r+1}} g(\chi_{\alpha_0}) \cdots g(\chi_{\alpha_r}) \sum_t \bar{\chi}_{\alpha_0}(t_0) \cdots \bar{\chi}_{\alpha_r}(t_r) \sum_{u_0+\dots+u_r=0} \bar{\psi} \left(\sum_i t_i u_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

The inner sum being a character sum on the vector space (u_0, \dots, u_r) satisfying $u_0 + \dots + u_r = 0$ with q^r elements is either q^r or 0 depending on whether $\bar{\psi}$ is trivial or not. The former case happens if and only if all t_i 's are equal. Indeed, otherwise we can solve equations like $\sum u_i = 0$ and $\sum t_i u_i = s$ with $s \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Since we have $\alpha_0 + \dots + \alpha_r \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ by definition of Jacobi sums, we can deduce that

$$j(\mathfrak{a}) = \frac{1}{q} g(\chi_{\alpha_0}) \cdots g(\chi_{\alpha_r})$$

After this we are interested to see what happens to the number of solutions when we move from \mathbb{F}_q to an extension \mathbb{F}_{q^v} for some positive integer v . To address this question, we will need an important result of Davenport and Hasse.

Theorem 13 (Davenport-Hasse theorem).

$$-g(\chi_{\alpha,v}) = (-g(\chi_\alpha))^v$$

Proof. [Wei49][IR90] □

Let N_v be the number of solutions of equation (1) in the field \mathbb{F}_{q^v} . Consider (1) as the equation of a variety in the projective space \mathbb{P}^r of dimension r over \mathbb{F}_q . Let \bar{N} be the number of solutions in the projective space, it is related to the number of solutions on the affine space by $\bar{N}(q-1) + 1 = N$. Now, plugging this into we get

$$(9) \quad \bar{N} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{r-1} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_r) \\ d_i \alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1} \\ 0 < \alpha_i < 1 \\ \alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_r \equiv 0 \pmod{1}}} \bar{\chi}_{\alpha_0}(\mathbf{a}_0) \cdots \bar{\chi}_{\alpha_r}(\mathbf{a}_r) j(\alpha)$$

Now, if \bar{N}_v is the number of points on the variety over \mathbb{F}_{q^v} , we shall calculate the series

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \bar{N}_v u^{v-1}$$

5 WEIL CONJECTURES

We will see how to do this in the special case of (1) when $n_1 = \cdots = n_r = n$. Suppose $n\alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1}, \alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_r \equiv 0 \pmod{1}, 0 < \alpha_i < 1$. Let

$$\mu = \mu(\alpha) = \min\{\mu : (q^\mu - 1)\alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1} \forall 0 \leq i \leq r\}$$

The extension \mathbb{F}_{q^μ} such that $(q^\mu - 1)\alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1}$ are those for which v is a multiple of μ and in fact, those are all of them. Choosing a generator for \mathbb{F}_{q^μ} we can define the characters χ_{α_i} , the Gauss sums $g(\chi_{\alpha_i})$ and the Jacobi sums $j(\alpha)$. After this, let $\chi_{\alpha_i, \lambda\mu}, g(\chi_{\alpha_i, \lambda\mu}), j_{\lambda\mu}(\alpha)$ the corresponding objects in the extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^{v\mu}}$. From Davenport-Hasse's theorem and basic checking, we have

- (1) $\chi_{\alpha_i, \lambda\mu} = \chi_{\alpha_i}(\mathbf{a}_i)^\lambda$
- (2) $g(\chi_{\alpha_i, \lambda\mu}) = (-1)^{\lambda-1} g(\chi_{\alpha_i})^\lambda$
- (3) $j_{\lambda\mu}(\alpha) = (-1)^{(\lambda-1)(r-1)} j(\alpha)^\lambda$

So,

$$(10) \quad \bar{N}_v = 1 + q^v + \cdots + q^{v(r-1)} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_r) \\ d_i \alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1} \\ 0 < \alpha_i < 1 \\ \alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_r \equiv 0 \pmod{1}}} \chi_{\alpha_0, v}(\mathbf{a}_0^{-1}) \cdots \chi_{\alpha_r, v}(\mathbf{a}_r^{-1}) j_v(\alpha)$$

with $v = \lambda\mu$. We let $q = q^\mu$ for simplicity of notation. Then, the previous equation becomes (after possibly putting $v = \lambda$)

$$(11) \quad \bar{N}_v = 1 + q^v + \cdots + q^{v(r-1)} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_r) \\ d_i \alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{1} \\ 0 < \alpha_i < 1 \\ \alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_r \equiv 0 \pmod{1}}} (-1)^{(v-1)(r-1)} \left(\chi_{\alpha_0}(\mathbf{a}_0^{-1}) \cdots \chi_{\alpha_r}(\mathbf{a}_r^{-1}) j(\alpha) \right)^v$$

Using the identity

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} X^v U^{v-1} = \frac{d}{dU} (-\log(1 - XU))$$

we have

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \bar{N}_v U^{v-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{d}{dU} (-\log(1 - q^i U)) + (-1)^r \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\mu(\alpha)} \frac{d}{dU} (-\log(1 - C(\alpha) U^{\mu(\alpha)}))$$

where $C(\alpha) = (-1)^{r-1} \chi_{\alpha_0}(a_0^{-1}) \cdots \chi_{\alpha_r}(a_r^{-1}) j(\alpha)$

Note that the map $x \mapsto a^q$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{F}_{q^μ} which leaves a_i unchanged. Thus, $C(q\alpha) = C(\alpha)$. Thus, in the last sum, the terms corresponding to the sets α and $q^v \alpha$ with $0 \leq v \leq \mu - 1$ are the same and there are $\mu(\alpha)$ many of them, and hence we can cancel the $\mu(\alpha)$.

Definition 14. Let V be a variety without singular points, of dimension n , defined over a finite field with q elements. Let \bar{N}_v be the number of rational points on V over the extension \mathbb{F}_{q^v} of \mathbb{F}_q . Then, we define

$$\mathfrak{Z}(V, U) := \exp \left(\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \bar{N}_v \frac{U^v}{v} \right)$$

From the above discussion, we have

$$\mathfrak{Z}(V, U) = \frac{P(U)^{(-1)^r}}{(1-U)(1-qU) \cdots (1-q^{r-1}U)}$$

with $P(U) = \prod_{\alpha} (1 - C(\alpha)U)$.

Weil conjectures the following:

Theorem 15 (Weil's conjectures). Let V be a variety without singular points, and of dimension n . Then,

- (1) (Rationality) $\mathfrak{Z}(V, U)$ is a rational function.
- (2) (Functional equation) The function $\mathfrak{Z}(V, U)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\mathfrak{Z}\left(V, \frac{1}{q^n U}\right) = \epsilon q^{n\chi/2} U^\chi \mathfrak{Z}(V, U)$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and χ is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of V (intersection number of the diagonal with itself on the product $V \times V$).

- (3) (Riemann Hypothesis) One can write

$$\mathfrak{Z}(V, U) = \frac{P_1(U)P_3(U) \cdots P_{2n-1}(U)}{P_0(U)P_2(U) \cdots P_{2n}(U)}$$

where $P_0(\mathbf{U}) = 1 - \mathbf{U}$, $P_{2n}(\mathbf{U}) = 1 - q^n \mathbf{U}$ and for $1 \leq h \leq 2n - 1$ we have

$$P_h(\mathbf{U}) = \prod_{i=1}^{B_h} (1 - \alpha_{h,i} \mathbf{U})$$

where $\alpha_{h,i}$ are algebraic integers with absolute value $q^{h/2}$.

- (4) The degree B_h of P_h called the Betti numbers of the variety B . Then, the Euler-Poincaré characteristic χ can be expressed by

$$\chi = \sum_h (-1)^h B_h$$

6 HISTORY OF WEIL CONJECTURES AND LITERATURE

While writing this section, I realised that I cannot do much justice due to my very limited knowledge. I shall instead share this wonderful commentary written by M. Goresky [Gor18], and also refer to the notes by Tamás Szamuely [click here](#) for a proper timeline of the progress on the conjectures. I also found the notes by Hindry [Hin12] (in french) very helpful while preparing for this talk.

REFERENCES

- [Con] Keith Conrad. URL: <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/gradnumthy/Gauss-Jacobi-sums.pdf>.
- [Del77] P. Deligne. *Cohomologie étale*. Vol. 569. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 4 $\frac{1}{2}$. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, pp. iv+312. ISBN: 3-540-08066-X; 0-387-08066-X. DOI: [10.1007/BFb0091526](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0091526). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0091526>.
- [Dwo60] Bernard Dwork. “On the rationality of the zeta function of an algebraic variety”. In: *Amer. J. Math.* 82 (1960), pp. 631–648. ISSN: 0002-9327,1080-6377. DOI: [10.2307/2372974](https://doi.org/10.2307/2372974). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2372974>.
- [Gor18] Mark Goresky. “Commentary on “Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields” by André Weil”. In: *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 55.3 (2018), pp. 327–329. ISSN: 0273-0979,1088-9485. DOI: [10.1090/bull/1617](https://doi.org/10.1090/bull/1617). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/bull/1617>.
- [Gro60] Alexander Grothendieck. “The cohomology theory of abstract algebraic varieties”. In: *Proc. Internat. Congress Math. 1958*. Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1960, pp. 103–118.
- [Hin12] Marc Hindry. “La preuve par André Weil de l’hypothèse de Riemann pour une courbe sur un corps fini”. In: *Henri Cartan & André Weil, mathématiciens du XX^e siècle*. Ed. Éc. Polytech., Palaiseau, 2012, pp. 63–98. ISBN: 978-2-7302-1610-4.

- [IR90] Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen. *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*. en. Vol. 84. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. New York, NY: Springer New York, 1990. ISBN: 9781441930941 9781475721034. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4757-2103-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-2103-4). URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4757-2103-4>.
- [M A73] J. L. Verdier M. Artin A. Grothendieck. *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 3*. Vol. Vol. 305. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973, pp. vi+640.
- [Ser58] Jean-Pierre Serre. “Sur la topologie des variétés algébriques en caractéristique p ”. In: *Symposium internacional de topología algebraica International symposium on algebraic topology*. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and UNESCO, México, 1958, pp. 24–53.
- [Wei48] André Weil. *Sur les courbes algébriques et les variétés qui s’en déduisent*. Vol. 7 (1945). Publications de l’Institut de Mathématiques de l’Université de Strasbourg [Publications of the Mathematical Institute of the University of Strasbourg]. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1041. [Current Scientific and Industrial Topics]. Hermann & Cie, Paris, 1948, pp. iv+85.
- [Wei49] André Weil. “Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields”. In: *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 55.5 (1949), pp. 497–508.
- [Wei56] André Weil. “Abstract versus classical algebraic geometry”. In: *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, 1954, Amsterdam, vol. III*. Erven P. Noordhoff N. V., Groningen, 1956, pp. 550–558.